



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/905,775	07/13/2001	Michael P. Spratt	B-4242 618937-3	3501
7590 06/02/2005			EXAMINER	
HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY			NGUYEN, QUYNH H	
Intellectual Property Administration				
P.O. Box 272400			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Fort Collins, CO 80527-2400			2642	

DATE MAILED: 06/02/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
0.00	09/905,775	SPRATT, MICHAEL P.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Quynh H Nguyen	2642			
The MAILING DATE of this communication  Period for Reply	on appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR ITHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communical  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, b  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION.  CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rition.  s, a reply within the statutory minimum of third period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON y statute, cause the application to become AE	eply be timely filed  y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n 11 January 2005				
3) Since this application is in condition for a	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
4)  Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) 1-5,7,9-18,20 and 21 is/are rejected to.  7)  Claim(s) 6,8, and 19 is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction	ithdrawn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Ex	aminer.				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐	☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to	by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection	to the drawing(s) be held in abeyar	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the of the oath or declaration is objected to by	·	• •			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International E * See the attached detailed Office action for	uments have been received.  uments have been received in A e priority documents have been Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		ummary (PTO-413)			
<ol> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/94)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>		)/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152) 			

Application/Control Number: 09/905,775

Art Unit: 2642

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. The text of those sections of Title 35 U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. Claims 1-5, 7, and 9-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Toh (U.S. Patent 5,987,011) in view of Robert et al. (U.S. Patent 6,104,712).

Regarding claims 1 and 2, Toh teaches a method for passing a message (col. 12, lines 1-3) to a target receiver at a known location (Fig. 8a, destination node 24), wherein the message is carried towards the target receiver by one or more mobile entities (intermediate nodes 22) by short-range communication (ad-hoc mobile communications) (col. 4, lines 52-67). Toh further teaches each mobile node comprises a routing table to support a plurality of routes through the network between source and destination mobile hosts (col. 3, line 66 through col. 4, line 11) and routing protocol to support the immediately-prior movement of a node (col. 3, line 34-51) reads on claimed "the message including an indication of the location of the target receiver". Toh does not specifically suggest the mobile entities are used to carry the message following a determination that its direction of travel is appropriate to progress the message on its way to the target receiver.

Robert et al. teach predicting direction of travel for sending / routing protocol mobile with package (col. 20, lines 21-67).

Application/Control Number: 09/905,775

Art Unit: 2642

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the feature mentioned above, as taught by Robert, in Toh's system in order maximize utilize the benefit of short range communication.

Regarding claim 3, Robert et al. teach the network is operated within confined boundaries, or other geographically bounded region. The node includes a geolocation detector that locates the instantaneous position of the node (col. 2, lines 51-53) reads on claim 3.

Claims 4, 5, and 7 are rejected for the same reasons as discussed above with respect to claim 1. Furthermore, Toh teaches intermediate nodes 22.

Claim 9 is rejected for the same reasons as discussed above with respect to claims 1 and 4. Furthermore, Toh teaches the route parameters that govern the ABR route selection (col. 10, lines 8-22 and table 1). However, Toh does not teach deriving a reference direction and comparing its direction of travel with the reference direction and determining that it is appropriate to carry to the message only upon the compared directions being within a predetermined angular range of each other. It would have been obvious on one or ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the mentioned above features to Toh's system in order to have a better system.

Regarding claims 10-16, Toh teaches the process of decreasing the transmission distance, calculating the necessary power and transmitting the packet is repeated until the adjusted distance is no longer positive (col. 15, lines 17-59); and the sky wave signal propagation relies on the incidence angle and the angle of refraction (col. 7, line

63 through col. 8, line 15). However, Toh does not teach at least one mobile entity when carrying the message seeks to pass on the message to another mobile entity or multiple entities upon its direction of travel no longer being appropriate to progress the message on its way to the target receiver; informing by the message-receiving mobile entity as to whether the latter has accepted to carry the message. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the mentioned above features in Toh's system to have a short range device that cover the radio transmitters which provide either uni-directional or bi-directional communication that have low capability of causing interference to other radio equipment.

Claim 17 is rejected for the same reasons as discussed above with respect to claim 1.

3. Claim 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Toh (U.S. Patent 5,987,011) in view of Robert et al. (U.S. Patent 6,104,712) and further in view of Stiller et al. (U.S. Patent 6,704,283).

Claims 18, 20, and 21 are rejected for the same reasons as discussed in claims 1 and 11. Furthermore, Stiller et al. teach a short-range transceiver capable of determining the presence nearby of the mobile entity (col. 6, line 29); a memory for holding the message (Fig. 2, 14).

#### Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 6, 8, and 19 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 09/905,775 Page 5

Art Unit: 2642

## Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments, filed 1/11/05 with respect to claims 1-21 have been fully considered and are persuasive. The previous office action has been withdrawn.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Quynh H. Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-

7489. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday from 6:15 A.M. to

4:45 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ahmad Matar, can be reached on 571-272-7488. The fax phone number for

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Quynh H. Nguyen Patent Examiner Art Unit 2642

Suph. H. Ngryen